

Macroarea di Ingegneria Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Ingegneria Informatica

NewSQL Database: Cockroach DB

Corso di Sistemi e Architetture per Big Data A.A. 2023/24 Matteo Nardelli

Laurea Magistrale in Ingegneria Informatica

The reference Big Data stack



Relational database systems

- RDBMS pros:
 - ACID transactions
 - Relational schemas (and schema changes without downtime)
 - SQL queries
 - Strong consistency
- RDBMS cons:
 - Lack of horizontal scalability (to 100s or 1000s of servers)

NewSQL databases

- **NewSQL**: a class of modern RDBMS
- Goals
 - Provide scalability of NoSQL systems for OLTP workloads, while maintaining ACID support of traditional RDBMS
 - Support SQL
- Examples (mostly closed source)
 - Google's Spanner
 - CockroachDB (Open-source, born as Spanner clone, then evolved differently)
 - VoltDB
 - MariaDB Xpand
 - NuoDB

Replication in NewSQL

- Hot to scale? Multi-master (or master-less) schemes
 - Any node can receive data update statements



- NewSQL a.k.a. distributed SQL database
 - Scalability
 - Strong consistency
 - Survivability: tolerate disk, machine, rack, datacenter failures with minimal latency disruption and no manual intervention
- Multi-master architecture
 - Each node acts as SQL gateway:
 - Transforms and executes SQL statements to key-value (KV) operations;
 - Distributes KV operations across the cluster and returns results to the client
- CockroachDB is considered a CP system under the CAP theorem.

Read more: https://rcs.uwaterloo.ca/~ali/cs854-f23/papers/cockroachdb.pdf

- Internal data model
 - Single, sorted map from key to value;
 - Map is divided into ranges;
 - Range is stored in a local KV storage engine (Pebble) and replicated to additional nodes;
 - Pebble is an embedded KV inspired by RocksDB and developed by Cockroach Labs
 - Ranges are merged and split to maintain target size (e.g., 64MB)
- Horizontal Scalability
 - Ranges of the same key can be stored on different nodes;
 - Adding nodes increases storage capacity and overall throughput of queries;

- Strong consistency
 - Distributed consensus (Raft) for synchronous replication in each KV range;
 - Mutations across multiple ranges employ distributed transactions
 - Raft and distributed transactions guarantee ACID properties
- Fault-tolerance
 - Range replicas can be:
 - co-located within a single data center for low latency;
 - distributed across racks (survive to (some) network failures);
 - Distributed across different data centers.

- CockroachDB's architecture is organized into layers:
 - **SQL**: translates SQL queries to KV operations;
 - Transactional: Allow atomic changes to multiple KV entries;
 - Distribution: Present replicated KV ranges as a single entity;
 - Replication: Consistently and synchronously replicate KV ranges across nodes;
 - Storage: read and write KV data on disk.

Read more: https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/stable/architecture/overview/

Cockroach DB: Architecture



• LLRB: Left-Leaning Red-Black tree, a special kind of self-balancing binary search tree

Cockroach DB: Architecture



Matteo Nardelli - SABD 2023/24

Storage Layer: Versioned Data

- CockroachDB maintains multi-version data
 - Historical versions of values are stored with associated commit timestamps
 - Reads can specify a snapshot time to return data at a specific time;
 - Expiration interval: enables to garbage collect older versions of data;
- CockroachDB relies on multi-version concurrency control (MVCC)
 - To process concurrent requests and guarantee consistency
 - Further details later in this lesson

Storage and Self-repair

- CockroachDB nodes contains one or more stores
 - Each store should be placed on a unique disk;
 - Each store internally contains an instance of RockDB;
 - All stores of the same node share a block cache;
 - The concept of node's zone enable to control the range's replication factor, adding constraints as to where the replicas can be located.
 - Stores gossip their descriptors periodically;
 - If a store appears to be failed, affected replicas will autonomously up-replicate themselves to other available stores to meet the replication factor.

Replication Layer

- CockroachDB uses a Raft instance at replica level
 - Recently, to provide better support for multi-region clusters, a new type of replica was introduced: the non-voting replica.
 - Non-voting replicas follow the Raft log (and are thus able to serve follower reads), but do not participate in quorum;
 - However, performing all operations through Raft consensus is an expensive operation;
 - Hence, they also introduced the concept of *Replica Lease;*
 - A single node in the Raft group acts as the *leaseholder*, which is the only node that can serve reads or propose writes to the Raft group leader

Replication Layer

• Replica Lease

- A lease held for a slice of time;
- A replica establishes itself as owning the lease on a range by committing a special lease acquisition log entry through Raft;
- The replica becomes the lease holder as soon as it applies the lease acquisition command,
 - This guarantees that the replica has already applied all prior writes and can see them locally.
- The replica holding the lease may satisfy reads locally (with no Raft consensus);
- The replica with the lease is in charge of handling Rangespecific maintenance (splitting, merging, rebalancing)
- Raft leadership and the range lease might not be held by the same replica
 - Making the same node both Raft leader and the leaseholder optimizes query performance

Distribution Layer

- CockroachDB stores data in a monolithic sorted map of key-value pairs; this enables:
 - Simple lookups: to identify nodes responsible for ranges;
 - Efficient scans: leveraging the order of data.



This meta range structure enables addressing up to 4EiB of user data by default

Transaction Layer: Hybrid Logical Clock (HLC)

- Each cockroach node maintains a hybrid logical clock (HLC);
- HLC time uses timestamps which are composed of a physical component and a logical component
 - The logical component is used to distinguish between events with the same physical component
- HLC allows us to track causality for related events (similar to vector clocks, but with less overhead)
 - When events are received by a node, it informs the local HLC about the timestamp supplied with the event by the sender;
 - When events are sent a timestamp generated by the local HLC is attached.
- The HLC is updated by every read/write event on the node, and the HLC time >= wall time;
- HLC is used to track versions of values (MVCC) and provide transactional isolation guarantees.

Distributed Transaction

- Physical actors involved in executing a query:
 - 1. SQL Client sends a query to the CockroachDB cluster;
 - 2. Load Balancing routes the request to node in the cluster;
 - 3. Gateway: node that processes the SQL request and responds to the client;
 - 4. Leaseholder: node responsible for serving reads and coordinating writes of a specific range of keys in your query.
 - 5. Raft leader: node responsible for maintaining consensus among your CockroachDB replicas.

- CockroachDB provides:
 - Stale reads: read from local replica (using the clause AS OF SYSTEM TIME)
 - Strongly-consistent reads: go through the leaseholder; see all committed writes; default.

Read scenario There are 3 nodes in the cluster. • There are 3 small tables, each fitting in a single range. • Ranges are replicated 3 times (the default). • A query is executed against node 2 to read from table 3 3 Node 1 Node 2 Node 3 4

https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/v23.2/architecture/reads-and-writes-overview

Matteo Nardelli - SABD 2023/24



https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/v23.2/architecture/reads-and-writes-overview

Matteo Nardelli - SABD 2023/24

- All transactions operate on a **read snapshot**
 - Select a new MVCC timestamp from HLC and capture all writers previously committed
- Isolation level:
 - Serializable transactions maintain per-transaction read snapshot (default)
 - Read committed transactions use per-statement read snapshot
 - Writes in concurrent READ COMMITTED transactions can interleave without aborting transactions;
 - Each subsequent statement uses a new read snapshot, reads in a READ COMMITTED transaction can return different results.

Read more on isolation levels: https://www.cs.umb.edu/cs734/CritiqueANSI_Iso.pdf

• To reduce the occurrence of *concurrency anomalies* in *read committed* isolation, you can use locking reads;

Locking reads:

- A locking read in a transaction will always have the latest version of a row when the transaction commits;
 - It guarantees that the accessed data will not be changed by intermediate writes.
- Exclusive locks block concurrent writes and locking reads on a row;
 - SELECT ... FOR UPDATE
- Shared locks block concurrent writes and exclusive locking reads on a row.
 - SELECT ... FOR SHARE