TOR VERGATA
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA

Macroarea di Ingegneria
Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Ingegneria Informatica

NewSQL Database: Cockroach DB

Corso di Sistemi e Architetture per Big Data

A.A. 2025/26

Matteo Nardelli

Laurea Magistrale in Ingegneria Informatica

The reference Big Data stack



Recalls on NewSQL

- RDBMS pros:
  - ACID transactions
  - Relational schemas (and schema changes without downtime)
  - SQL queries
  - Strong consistency

- RDBMS cons:
  - Lack of horizontal scalability (to 100s or 1000s of servers)

Recalls on NewSQL

- **NewSQL**: a class of modern RDBMS
- Goals
  - Provide scalability of NoSQL systems for OLTP workloads, while maintaining ACID support of traditional RDBMS
  - Support SQL
- Examples (mostly closed source)
  - Google's Spanner
  - CockroachDB (Open-source, inspired by Spanner, then evolved with different design trade-offs)
  - VoltDB
  - MariaDB Xpand
  - NuoDB

Recalls on NewSQL: Replication

- Hot to scale? Multi-master (or master-less) schemes
  - Any node can receive data update statements



Primary-based replication

Multi-master replication

Cockroach DB: Overview

- NewSQL a.k.a. distributed SQL database
  - Scalability
  - Strong consistency
  - Survivability: tolerate disk, machine, rack, datacenter failures with minimal latency disruption and no manual intervention
- Multi-master architecture
  - **Each node acts as SQL gateway**:
    - Transforms and executes SQL statements to key-value (KV) operations;
    - Distributes KV operations across the cluster and returns results to the client
- CockroachDB is a CP system (CAP theorem)
  - Guarantees **serializable isolation** (all replicas see the same order of commits)
  - How? Raft for each *range*, distributed transactions + MVCC + HLC (see later)

https://rcs.uwaterloo.ca/~ali/cs854-f23/papers/cockroachdb.pdf

Cockroach DB: Overview - Data Model

- Internal data model
  - Single, sorted map from key to value;
  - Map is divided into **ranges**;
  - Range is stored in a **local KV storage** engine (Pebble) and **replicated** to additional nodes;
    - Pebble is an embedded KV inspired by RocksDB and developed by Cockroach Labs
  - Ranges are **merged and split** to maintain target size (e.g., 64MB)

Cockroach DB: Key Features

- Horizontal Scalability
  - Adding nodes increases storage capacity and overall throughput of queries;
  - Data partitioned: each node contains only part of the data (i.e., ranges);
- Fault-tolerance through data replication
  - Range replicas can be:
    - co-located within a single data center for low latency;
    - distributed across racks (survive to (some) network failures); or
    - distributed across different data centers.
- Strong consistency
  - Distributed consensus (Raft) for **synchronous replication** in each KV range;
  - **Mutations** across multiple ranges employ **distributed transactions**
  - Raft and distributed transactions guarantee **ACID** properties

Cockroach DB: Architecture Overview

- CockroachDB's architecture is organized into layers:
  - **SQL**: translates SQL queries to KV operations;
  - **Transactional**: Allow atomic changes to multiple KV entries;
  - **Distribution**: Present replicated KV ranges as a single entity;
  - **Replication**: Consistently and synchronously replicate KV ranges across nodes;
  - **Storage**: read and write KV data on disk.

Read more: https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/stable/architecture/overview/

Cockroach DB: Architecture



- LLRB: Left-Leaning Red-Black tree, a special kind of self-balancing binary search tree

Cockroach DB: Architecture



Recall:
Raft is a crash fault-tolerant consensus protocol.

To tolerate F failures,
we need at least N = 2F + 1 nodes

Cockroach: Storage Layer

- Each cockroachDB node:
  - Contains one or more stores;
    - Each store should be placed on a unique disk;
    - Internally: an instance of Pebble (KV store);
  - All stores of the same node share a block cache;
  - Labelled with a “zone”:
    - The zone concept enables to control the range’s replication factor, adding constraints as to where the replicas can be located.
  - Stores *gossip* their descriptors periodically;
    - If a store appears to be failed, affected replicas will autonomously up-replicate themselves to other available stores to meet the replication factor
    - Self-healing property

Storage Layer: Versioned Data

- CockroachDB maintains multi-version data
  - Historical versions of values are stored with associated commit timestamps
  - Reads can specify a snapshot time to return data at a specific time;
  - Expiration interval: enables to garbage collect older versions of data;

- CockroachDB relies on **multi-version concurrency control** (MVCC)
  - To process concurrent requests and guarantee consistency
  - (Further details later)

Replication Layer

- CockroachDB uses a Raft instance at replica level
  - Non-voting replicas:
    - Added to better support multi-region clusters;
    - follow the Raft log but do not participate in quorum;
    - can serve follower reads;
    - basically, provide multi-region survival + locality-aware replication.
  - However, performing Raft consensus for all operations is expensive;
  - *Replica Lease:*
    - Key concept in cockroachDB
    - A single node in the Raft group acts as the *leaseholder*, which is the only node that can serve reads or propose writes to the Raft group leader

Replication Layer

- *Replica Lease*
  - A lease held for a slice of time;
  - Lease acquisition:
    - A replica establishes itself as owning the lease on a range by committing a lease acquisition entry through Raft;
    - Soon after, the replica becomes the lease holder;
      - This guarantees that the replica has already applied all prior writes and can see them locally.
  - The replica holding the lease:
    - can satisfy reads locally (with no Raft consensus);
    - is in charge of handling range-specific maintenance (splitting, merging, rebalancing)
  - Although not mandatory, making the same node both Raft leader and leaseholder optimizes query performance

Distribution Layer

- CockroachDB stores data in a monolithic sorted map of key-value pairs; this enables:
  - *Simple lookups:* to identify nodes responsible for ranges;
  - *Efficient scans:* leveraging the order of data.



This meta range structure enables addressing up to 4EiB of user data by default

Transaction Layer: Hybrid Logical Clock (HLC)

- Each node maintains a hybrid logical clock (HLC)
- HLC allows us to track **causality** for related events
  - Similar to vector clocks, but with less overhead;
  - Incoming events inform the local HLC about the timestamp by the sender;
  - Outgoing events marked with an HLC timestamp;
- The HLC is updated by every read/write event on the node:
  - HLC timestamp consists of **a physical and a logical component;**
  - HLC time >= wall time;
- HLC is used to track versions of values and provide transactional isolation guarantees.

Transaction Layer: Distributed Transaction

- Actors involved in a distributed transaction:
  1. SQL **Client** sends a query to the CockroachDB cluster;
     - CockroachDB keeps compatibility with Postgres clients
  2. **Load Balancing** routes the request to node in the cluster;
  3. **Gateway**: node that processes the SQL request and responds to the client;
  4. **Leaseholder**: node responsible for serving reads and coordinating writes of a specific range of keys in your query.
  5. **Raft leader**: node responsible for maintaining consensus among your CockroachDB replicas.

Read more: https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/stable/architecture/transaction-layer

Transaction Layer

- Read scenario

- There are 3 nodes in the cluster.
- There are 3 small tables, each fitting in a single range.
- Ranges are replicated 3 times (the default).
- A query is executed against **node 2** to read from **table 3**



https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/v23.2/architecture/reads-and-writes-overview

Transaction Layer

- Write scenario

- There are 3 nodes in the cluster.
- There are 3 small tables, each fitting in a single range.
- Ranges are replicated 3 times (the default).
- A query is executed against **node 3** to write to **table 1**.



https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/v23.2/architecture/reads-and-writes-overview

Transaction Layer

- All statements are handled as transactions (autocommit mode);
- A **write operation** involves:
  - Write intents, replicated via Raft;
  - Unreplicated locks store in-memory, per-node;
  - Transaction record (with transaction current state).
- A **read operation,** can be of different types:
  - **Strongly-consistent** (default): through the leaseholder and sees all writes committed before the reading transaction (under Serializable isolation) or statement (under read committed isolation)
  - **Stale reads** (with AS OF SYSTEM TIME clause): from local replica;
- A read operation can optionally acquire locks:
  - Exclusive locks: block writes and locking read on a row;
    - SELECT … FOR UPDATE
  - Shared locks: block concurrent writes and exclusive locking reads on a row;
    - SELECT … FOR SHARE

Transaction Layer

- Distributed transactions rely on:
  - MVCC
  - HLC: timestamp ordering, ensures serializability
  - Transaction record: tracks transaction state (pending, committed, aborted)
  - Write intents
  - 2phase commit
- Write path (simplified)
  - Client sends transaction
  - Writes create *intents* (not immediately visible)
  - Intents are *replicated* via **Raft**
  - Transaction coordinator decides: commit or abort
- Important:
  - Transactions may span multiple *ranges*
  - *Coordination cost grows with #ranges and network distance*

Latency vs Geo-distribution

- CockroachDB supports **geo-distributed deployments**
  - Data replicated across regions (e.g., EU, US, Asia);
  - Benefits: High availability, disaster tolerance, and data locality
  - Cost: *Higher latency*
- *Why latency increases*:
  - Writes require **Raft consensus (quorum)**
  - In multi-region, network RTT dominates latency
  - Example: single-region ~1-5 ms; multi-region: ~50-150ms
- Read trade-offs:
  - Strong reads (served by leaseholder): may be remote!
  - Follower reads (served locally): may be stale, but low latency

CockroachDB vs Spanner

Feature	CockroachDB	Spanner
Time model	HLC (software-based)	TrueTime (GPS+atomic clocks)
Consistency	Serializable	External consistency
Deployment	Any	Google infrastructure
Latency	Depends on network RTT	Optimized via TrueTime

- CockroachDB trades simpler deployment for potentially higher coordination cost

Workload and use cases

- CockroachDB is suitable for:
  - Global OLTP (Online Transaction Processing) applications
    - e.g., financial systems, SaaS platform, user-facing services
  - Multi-region deployments
    - data locality requirements
- Examples:
  - Payment-systems
  - E-commerce platforms
  - Multi-region SaaS platforms
- When not to use
  - *Heavy analytical workloads (OLAP);*
  - *Large batch processing;*
  - *Extremely low-latency single-node systems*

Practical Limitations

- Practical limitations:
  - High latency for writes
    - due to Raft and geo-replication
  - Contention on hot keys
    - Single range bottlenecks
- Transaction overheads:
  - Distributed transactions are expensive (multi-ranges, coordination across nodes)
  - Performance degrades with cross-region access and large transactions
- Operational complexity:
  - *Requires careful data placement (zones) and replication config*
  - Misconfiguration leads to poor performance